DRAFT

FOR DISCUSSION ONLY

DIRECTED TRUST ACT

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS

ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

October 28-29, 2016 Committee Meeting

Copyright © 2016 By NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS

The ideas and conclusions set forth in this draft, including the proposed statutory language and any comments or reporter's notes, have not been passed upon by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws or the Drafting Committee. They do not necessarily reflect the views of the Conference and its Commissioners and the Drafting Committee and its Members and Reporter. Proposed statutory language may not be used to ascertain the intent or meaning of any promulgated final statutory proposal.

October 7, 2016

DIRECTED TRUST ACT

The Committee appointed by and representing the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in preparing this Act consists of the following individuals:

- ROBERT H. SITKOFF, Harvard Law School, 1575 Massachusetts, Ave., Cambridge, MA 02138, *Chair*
- TURNEY P. BERRY, 500 W. Jefferson St., Suite 2800, Louisville, KY 40202, *Vice-Chair* JACK BURTON, 119 E. Marcy St., Suite 200, Santa Fe, NM 87501-2046
- DAVID M. ENGLISH, University of Missouri-Columbia School of Law, 203 Hulston Hall, Columbia, MO 65211
- MICHAEL B. GETTY, 430 Cove Tower Dr., #503, Naples, FL 34110
- HARRY J. HAYNSWORTH, IV, 108 Addingtons, Williamsburg, VA 23188-7430
- JOHN H. LANGBEIN, Yale Law School, P.O. Box 208215, New Haven, CT 06520-8215
- BRADLEY MYERS, University of North Dakota, 215 Centennial Dr., Stop 9003, Room 201, Grand Forks, ND 58202-9003
- RAYMOND P. PEPE, 17 N. 2nd St., 18th Floor, Harrisburg, PA 17101-1507
- DREW L. SNYDER, Office of the Governor, P.O. Box 139, Jackson, MS 39205
- SUSAN D. SNYDER, 50 S. LaSalle St., MB-09, Chicago, IL 60603
- MARTHA T. STARKEY, 11611 N. Meridian St., Suite 150, Carmel, IN 46032
- ROBERT A. STEIN, University of Minnesota Law School, 229 19th Ave. S., Minneapolis, MN 55455
- CHARLES A. TROST, Nashville City Center, 511 Union St., Suite 2700, Nashville, TN 37219-1760

SUZANNE B. WALSH, 185 Asylum St., CityPlace 1, 29th Floor, Hartford, CT 06103-3469 JOHN MORLEY, Yale Law School, P.O. Box 208215, New Haven, CT 06520, *Reporter*

EX OFFICIO

RICHARD T. CASSIDY, 100 Main St., P.O. Box 1124, Burlington, VT 05401, *President* PAMELA W. BERTANI, 728 Texas St., Suite 4, Fairfield, CA 94533, *Division Chair*

AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION ADVISORS

- JAMES P. SPICA, 500 Woodward Ave., Suite 4000, Detroit, MI 48226-5403, *ABA Advisor* KAREN E. BOXX, University of Washington, William H. Gates Hall, P.O. Box 353020, Seattle, WA 98195-3020, *ABA Section Advisor*
- AMY E. HELLER, 340 Madison Ave., 17th Floor, New York, NY 10173-1922, ABA Section Advisor

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

LIZA KARSAI, 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010, Chicago, IL 60602, Executive Director

Copies of this act may be obtained from:

NATIONAL CONFERENCE OF COMMISSIONERS ON UNIFORM STATE LAWS 111 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 1010 Chicago, Illinois 60602 312/450-6600 www.uniformlaws.org

DIRECTED TRUST ACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFATORY NOTE	1
SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.	2
SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS.	2
SECTION 3. APPLICATION; PRINCIPAL PLACE OF ADMINISTRATION.	5
SECTION 4. LAW AND PRINCIPLES OF EQUITY.	6
SECTION 5. EXCLUSIONS	
SECTION 6. POWERS OF TRUST DIRECTOR	
SECTION 7. DUTY AND LIABILITY OF TRUST DIRECTOR.	12
SECTION 8. DUTY AND LIABILITY OF DIRECTED TRUSTEE	14
SECTION 9. DUTY TO PROVIDE INFORMATION TO TRUSTEE OR TRUST	
DIRECTOR	19
SECTION 10. NO DUTY TO MONITOR, INFORM, OR ADVISE.	
SECTION 11. APPLICATION TO COTRUSTEE.	22
SECTION 12. LIMITATION OF ACTION AGAINST TRUST DIRECTOR	23
SECTION 13. DEFENSES IN ACTION AGAINST TRUST DIRECTOR.	
SECTION 14. JURISDICTION OVER TRUST DIRECTOR.	
SECTION 15. TRUST DIRECTORSHIP.	25
SECTION 16. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION	27
SECTION 17. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND	
NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT.	
SECTION 18. REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.	27

PREFATORY NOTE

2 Background. The Directed Trust Act addresses an increasingly common arrangement in 3 contemporary estate planning and asset management known as a directed trust. A directed trust 4 usually involves the naming of a trustee to hold custody of the trust property and another person 5 who is not a trustee to perform one or more of the investment, distribution, and administration 6 functions that would otherwise have belonged to the trustee. There is no consistent vocabulary 7 for the nontrustee powerholder in a directed trust. Several terms are common in practice, 8 including "trust protector," "trust adviser," and "trust director." There is much uncertainty about 9 the fiduciary status of a nontrustee who has control over the administration of a trust and about 10 the fiduciary responsibility of a trustee with regard to actions taken or directed by such a 11 nontrustee. Existing uniform trusts and estates statutes address the issue inadequately. Existing 12 nonuniform state laws are in disarray.

13

1

The Directed Trust Act. Under this act, a nontrustee who holds a fiduciary power over the administration of a trust is called a "trust director." A trustee that is subject to a power of a trust director is called a "directed trustee." The core contribution of the act is to address the many complications created by giving a power over the administration of a trust to a person who is not a trustee. These complications include the fiduciary duty of a trust director (Section 7) and the fiduciary duty of a directed trustee (Sections 8 and 10).

Enabling Settlor Autonomy Subject to Fiduciary Minimums. By validating terms of a trust that provide for a trust director with a power over the administration of a trust, this act supports the settlor's freedom of disposition. At the same time, the act provides for certain mandatory minimum fiduciary safeguards in accordance with the venerable principle that a trust is a fiduciary relationship.

26

27 Structure of the Act. The heart of the act appears in Sections 5 through 10, which address 28 the powers and duties of trust directors and directed trustees. Sections 5 through 7 address the 29 kinds of powers that the terms of a trust can grant to a trust director and the fiduciary duty of the 30 director. Sections 8 through 10 address the fiduciary duty of a directed trustee, prescribing the 31 ways in which the existence of a trust director changes the trustee's normal fiduciary duty. 32 Section 11 addresses the relationship between a directed trust and cotrusteeship. The remaining 33 sections address a variety of important technical issues in the administration of a directed trust 34 and in this act's relationship to existing law.

35

36 *Key Policy Questions*. The key policy questions on which the drafting committee focused 37 are: (1) the scope of the powers that may be granted to a trust director (Sections 5 and 6); (2) the 38 fiduciary duties of a trust director (Section 7) and a directed trustee (Sections 8 and 10); and (3) 39 the extension of the fiduciary rules of a directed trust to cotrusteeship (Section 11). With respect 40 to the fiduciary duties of a directed trustee, as explained in the comment to Section 8, of the viable options from among the existing directed trust statutes, the drafting committee preferred 41 42 the one that protects beneficiaries most strongly. [Further prefatory note to come/for 43 discussion—e.g., "willful misconduct" as a net increase in duty relative to nondirected trust.] October 28-29, 2016 Committee Meeting 44

1	DIRECTED TRUST ACT
2	SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE. This [act] may be cited as the Directed Trust Act.
3	Comment
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	This act governs a set of trust arrangements that are commonly known as "directed trusts." In rough terms, a directed trust is a trust in which a person other than a trustee holds a fiduciary power to direct some aspect of the trust's administration. Under this act, the person who holds such a power is called a "trust director," and a trustee that is subject to such a power is called a "directed trustee" (see Section 2(2) and (6)). This act covers any arrangement that exhibits the functional features of a directed trust, even if the terms of the trust use other terminology, such as a "trust protector" or a "trust advisor."
12	SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS. In this [act]:
13	(1) "Breach of trust" includes a violation by a trust director or trustee of a duty imposed
14	by this [act] or the terms of a trust.
15	(2) "Directed trustee" means a trustee that is subject to a trust director's power of
16	direction under Section 6.
17	(3) "Person" means an individual, business or nonprofit entity, public corporation,
18	government or governmental subdivision, agency, or instrumentality, or other legal entity.
19	(4) "Power of appointment" means a power given by the terms of a trust to a person that
20	enables the person in a nonfiduciary capacity to designate a recipient of an ownership interest in
21	or a power of appointment over the trust property.
22	(5) "State" means a state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the
23	United States Virgin Islands, or any other territory or insular possession subject to the
24	jurisdiction of the United States.
25	(6) "Terms of a trust" means the manifestation of a settlor's intent regarding a trust's
26	provisions:
27	(A) as expressed in the trust instrument;

1	(B) as established by other evidence the	at would be admissible in a judicial
2	proceeding;	
3	(C) as amended by a trustee or trust direction	rector in accord with the terms of the trust;
4	[or]	
5	(D) as determined or amended by cour	t order[; or
6	(E) as determined or amended by nonj	udicial settlement agreement under
7	[Uniform Trust Code Section 111]].	
8	(7) "Trust director" means a person, other than	n a trustee, that is given a power of
9	direction under Section 6 by the terms of a trust wheth	her or not the terms of the trust designate
10	the person as a trust director, trust protector, or trust a	dviser, and whether or not the person is a
11	beneficiary.	
12 13 14 15 16 17	Uniform Trust Decanting Act Section 2(28) (2015), de updating those definitions to conform with paragraph adopted Uniform Trust Code Section 111 (2000) show subparagraph 6(E) with a cross reference to the state	efining "terms of a trust," should consider (6) of this section. A state that has not and replace the bracketed language of 's law governing nonjudicial settlement or
18 19		t
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	(1) Breach of trust. The definition of "breach of term "includes" a breach by a trust director of a duty Historically, the term has been used to reference a bre Trust Code § 1001(a) (2000) and Restatement (Third) meaning of the term to include a breach of duty by a t doubt about whether such conduct is also a "breach of	imposed by this act or the terms of a trust. each of duty by a trustee, as under Uniform of Trusts § 93 (2012). By expanding the rust director, this paragraph resolves any
27 28 29 30 31 32 33	(2) Directed trustee. The definition of "directed trustee that is subject to a trust director's power of dir subject to direction by another cotrustee is not for that excludes a trustee from the definition of a "trust direct director, a trustee that is subject to direction only by a this paragraph. Section 11 addresses the relationship b	ection under Section 6. A cotrustee that is t reason a directed trustee, as paragraph (7) tor." Because a trustee cannot be a trust cotrustee is not a directed trustee under between this act and cotrusteeship.
34 35	1 1	

1 which has the effect of excluding an "estate" and a "trust" from being a trust director.

(4) Power of appointment. The definition of "power of appointment" in paragraph (4) is
based on the similar definition in Uniform Powers of Appointment Act § 102(13) (2013). The
definition is consistent with what Restatement (Third) of Property: Wills and Other Donative
Transfers § 17.1 cmt. g (2011), refers to as a "discretionary" power of appointment, that is, one
in which "the donee may exercise the power arbitrarily as long as the exercise is within the scope
of the power."

If a power to designate a recipient of an ownership interest in or a power of appointment over trust property is held in a fiduciary capacity, then it is not a "power of appointment" under this paragraph. Instead, it is what Restatement (Third) of Property: Wills and Other Donative Transfers § 17.1 cmt. g (2011), calls a "fiduciary distributive power." In the hands of a person other than a trustee, a fiduciary distributive power would ordinarily be a power of direction under Section 6.

Section 6(c)(2) prescribes a default rule of construction under which a power to designate
a recipient of an ownership interest in or a power of appointment over trust property is a power
of appointment (and so not held in a fiduciary capacity) unless the terms of the trust provide
otherwise.

(5) *State*. The definition of state in paragraph (4) follows the standard Uniform Law
 Commission definition.

24

21

16

2

25 (6) Terms of a trust. The definition of "terms of a trust" in paragraph (5) is based on Uniform Trust Decanting Act § 2(28) (2015), which updates the comparable definition in 26 27 Uniform Trust Code § 103(18) (2004), to take notice of court orders and nonjudicial settlement 28 agreements, both of which are of growing practical significance. Paragraph (5) improves on the 29 Uniform Trust Decanting Act by further expanding the definition to include amendments (in 30 addition to determinations) by court order and nonjudicial settlement agreement and to include 31 amendments by a trustee or trust director. The Restatement likewise recognizes the possibility 32 that the terms of a trust may later be varied from the settlor's initial expression, though it does 33 not mention as many mechanisms for variance as paragraph (6). See Restatement (Third) of 34 Trusts § 76 cmt. b(1) (2007) ("References ... to the terms of the trust ... also refer to trust terms 35 as reformed or modified by court decree, and as modified by the settlor or others or by consent of 36 all beneficiaries.") (internal cross-references omitted).

37

38 (7) Trust director. The definition of a "trust director" in paragraph (7) includes any 39 person other than a trustee who is given a power of direction under Section 6. A person granted a 40 power by the terms of a trust that is a power of direction under Section 6 is a trust director even 41 if the terms of the trust or the parties call the person an "adviser" or "protector" or otherwise purport to disclaim trust director status and even if the person is also a beneficiary. However, 42 43 because the term excludes a trustee, a trustee is not a trust director even if the trustee has a power 44 over another trustee that would be a power of direction under Section 6 if held by a person other than a trustee. The power of such a trustee, and the cotrustee over which the trustee has the 45 46 power, are governed by the otherwise applicable law of cotrusteeship as modified by Section 11.

1 2 3 4 5	If a person other than a trustee has a power over a trust that is not a power of direction under Section 6, that person is not a trust director with respect to that power, and the power is not governed by this act. SECTION 3. APPLICATION; PRINCIPAL PLACE OF ADMINISTRATION.
6	(a) This [act] applies to a trust, whenever created, that has its principal place of
7	administration in this state, subject to the following rules:
8	(1) If the trust was created before or on [the effective date of this [act]], this [act]
9	applies only to conduct after that date.
10	(2) As to a trust whose principal place of administration is changed to this state on
11	or after [the effective date of this [act]], this [act] applies only to conduct after the change.
12	(b) Without precluding other means to establish a sufficient connection with the
13	designated jurisdiction, terms of a trust designating the principal place of administration of the
14	trust are valid and controlling if:
15	(1) a trustee's principal place of business is located in or a trustee is a resident of
16	the designated jurisdiction;
17	(2) a trust director's principal place of business is located in or a trust director is a
18	resident of the designated jurisdiction; or
19	(3) all or part of the administration occurs in the designated jurisdiction.
20 21 22	<i>Legislative Note:</i> A state that has adopted Uniform Trust Code Section $108(a)$ (2000) could omit subsection (b) and instead add subsection (b)(2) to Section 108 of the state's Uniform Trust Code.
23	Comment
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	<i>Subsection (a).</i> Subsection (a) addresses two matters. First, because powers and duties in a directed trust are matters of trust administration, <i>see</i> Restatement (Second) of Conflict of Laws § 271 cmt. a (1971), this subsection follows the prevailing conflict of laws rule by linking application of this act to the trust's principal place of administration. As with other matters of administration, the parties are protected against inconsistent court orders by the common law principle of "primary supervision." <i>See id.</i> § 267 cmt. e.

1 Second, this subsection applies this act to all trusts administered in an enacting state 2 regardless of whether the trust was in existence on the effective date of this act. However, under 3 subsections (a)(1) and (2), this act applies only with respect to conduct after the effective date or, 4 if the trust's principal place of administration was changed to the enacting state after the 5 effective date, only with respect to conduct after that change. Because some of the standards of 6 conduct prescribed by this act depart from Uniform Trust Code § 808 (2000) and the common 7 law, see Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 75 (2007), the drafting committee reasoned that the act 8 should apply prospectively, following the model of Uniform Prudent Investor Act § 11 (1994).

9

10 Subsection (b). Subsection (b), which derives from Uniform Trust Code § 108(a) (2000), establishes a safe harbor for a settlor's designation of a trust's principal place of administration. 11 12 Such a designation is valid if (1) a trustee is located in the designated jurisdiction, (2) a trust 13 director is located in the designated jurisdiction, or (3) at least some of the trust administration 14 occurs in the designated jurisdiction. Subsections (b)(1) and (b)(3) reproduce without change the 15 safe harbor prescribed by Uniform Trust Code § 108(a) (2000). Subsection (b)(2) is an 16 innovation in that it expands the safe harbor of Section 108(a) to include the location of a trust director, in addition to the location of a trustee, as a sufficient connection with the designated 17

18 jurisdiction. This expansion reflects this act's validation of a directed trust with a trust director.

19 Other than this expansion, the drafting committee followed the Uniform Trust Code in "not

20 attempt[ing] to further define principal place of administration." Uniform Trust Code § 108 cmt.

21 SECTION 4. LAW AND PRINCIPLES OF EQUITY. Unless displaced by a

22 provision of this [act], the law and principles of equity of this state supplement this [act].

23

Comment

24 This section confirms that the law and principles of equity of an enacting state remain applicable to a directed trust except to the extent modified or displaced by this act. For example, 25 other than the safe harbor under Section 3(b) for a term of a trust that designates the trust's 26 27 principal place of administration, the law of an enacting state by which principal place of administration is determined would continue to apply to a directed trust. Provisions such as this 28 29 one are familiar from other uniform acts. See, e.g., Uniform Powers of Appointment Act § 104 30 (2013); Uniform Trust Code § 106 (2000). This section departs from other uniform acts, however, by referencing "the law" rather than the "common law." Given that so much trust law 31 32 has been codified by statutes such as the Uniform Trust Code (2000), Uniform Trust Decanting 33 Act (2015), Uniform Principal and Income Act (1997), and Uniform Prudent Investor Act 34 (1994), the drafting committee intended for this section to reference both common law and 35 statute law.

- 36 **SECTION 5. EXCLUSIONS.** This [act] does not apply to:
- 37 (1) a power of appointment;
- 38 (2) a power to appoint or remove a trustee or trust director;
- 39 (3) a power of a settlor with respect to a revocable trust;

1	(4) a power of a beneficiary with respect to a trust to the extent the exercise or
2	nonexercise of the power affects only the interest of the beneficiary or another beneficiary
3	represented by the beneficiary under [Uniform Trust Code Sections 301 through 305]; or
4	Alternative A for Paragraph (5)
5	(5) a power of a trust director to the extent the power must be held in a nonfiduciary
6	capacity to achieve the settlor's federal tax objectives.
7	Alternative B for Paragraph (5)
8	(5) a power to substitute for trust property other property of equivalent value to the extent
9	the power must be held in a nonfiduciary capacity to achieve the settlor's federal tax objectives.
10 11 12 13	<i>Legislative Note:</i> A state that has not adopted Uniform Trust Code Sections 301-305 (2000) should replace the bracketed language with a cross reference to the state's law governing virtual representation.
14	Comment
15 16 17 18	This section excludes five categories of powers that the drafting committee concluded should not be covered by this act for reasons of policy, coverage by other law, or both. A power that falls within one of these exclusions is governed by law other than this act.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	(1) Power of appointment. Paragraph (1) excludes a "power of appointment," which is defined by Section 2(4) to mean "a power given by the terms of a trust to a person other than a trustee that enables the person in a nonfiduciary capacity to designate a recipient of an ownership interest in or a power of appointment over the trust property." A nonfiduciary power of appointment that may be exercised arbitrarily within the scope of the power is governed by other statutory law, such as the Uniform Powers of Appointment Act (2013), and by extensive common law, such as under Restatement (Third) of Property: Wills and Other Donative Transfers §§17.1-23.1 (2011).
28 29 30 31 32 33 34	In consequence of this exclusion, the terms of a trust may grant to a person a nonfiduciary power over distribution of the trust property—that is, a power of appointment—without that person becoming a trust director subject to this act. Not every power of distribution is excluded, however. If the terms of a trust indicate that a power of distribution is held in a fiduciary capacity, then the power is not a power of appointment within the exclusion in this section. In the hands of a person other than a trustee, such a fiduciary distribution power would be a power of direction under Section 6.
35 36	Section $6(c)(2)$ prescribes a default rule of construction under which a power to designate

a recipient of an ownership interest in or a power of appointment over trust property is a power
of appointment (and so not held in a fiduciary capacity) unless the terms of the trust provide
otherwise.

5 (2) Power to appoint or remove. Paragraph (2) excludes "a power to appoint or remove a 6 trustee or trust director." This exclusion is responsive to multiple suggestions to the drafting 7 committee that such a power, in particular a power to remove a trustee and appoint a successor 8 corporate trustee, is a normal and customary drafting practice that arose separately from the 9 phenomenon of directed trusts. Under prevailing law, the only limit on the exercise of such a 10 power is that it "must conform to any valid requirements or limitations imposed by the trust terms." Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 37 cmt. c (2003). If the terms of the trust do not impose 11 12 any requirements or limitations on the power to remove, then it may be exercised without cause. 13 See Austin Wakeman Scott, William Franklin Fratcher & Mark L. Ascher, Scott and Ascher on 14 Trusts § 11.10.2 (5th ed. 2006) ("If the terms of the trust confer the power of removal without 15 any limitation, it is unnecessary for the holder to show cause.").

16

4

17 (3) Revocable trust. Paragraph (3) excludes a power of a settlor with respect to a revocable trust. Because the settlor of a revocable trust may at any time revoke the trust and take 18 19 back the trust property, under modern law the trustee's duties run to the settlor rather than to the 20 beneficiaries, see Uniform Trust Code § 603(a) (2004), and the trustee must "comply with a 21 direction of the settlor even though the direction is contrary to the terms of the trust or the 22 trustee's normal fiduciary duties." Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 74(1)(a)(i) (2007). Without 23 the exclusion of this paragraph, Section 6(b) could have been read to transform all powers 24 retained by a settlor in a revocable trust into fiduciary powers of a trust director. A nonfiduciary 25 power in a person other than the settlor to withdraw the trust property is a power of appointment that would fall within paragraph (1) of this section. 26

27

28 (4) Power of a beneficiary. Paragraph (4) excludes a power of a beneficiary to the extent 29 that the exercise or nonexercise of the power affects only the interest of the beneficiary (or the 30 interest of another beneficiary who is represented by the beneficiary under applicable virtual 31 representation law). This exclusion follows traditional law, under which "[a] power that is for the 32 sole benefit of the person holding the power is not a fiduciary power." Restatement (Third) of 33 Trusts § 75 cmt. d (2007). By contrast, if the exercise or nonexercise of a power held by a 34 beneficiary affects the interests of another beneficiary, and the power falls within the scope of 35 Section 6, then under this act the beneficiary is a trust director subject to the standards of conduct prescribed by this act to the extent of the effect on the other beneficiary's interests. 36

For example, a power in a beneficiary to release a trustee from a claim by the beneficiary is excluded from this act. But a power in a beneficiary to release the trustee from a claim by another beneficiary (other than by virtual representation) is a power of direction under Section 6(b), and the beneficiary would be a trust director under Section 2(7) to the extent the beneficiary's power to release the trustee affects the claims of the other beneficiary.

(5) Tax-related powers. [For discussion: (1) Choice of alternative A or B, and (2)
 whether to include provisions guarding against powers with adverse tax consequences or
 affecting charitable status or special needs trusts, as discussed in the accompanying memo.]

SECTION 6. POWERS OF TRUST DIRECTOR.

2	(a) A trust director has only those powers granted to the director by the terms of the trust.
3	(b) The terms of a trust may grant a trust director a power of direction under which the
4	director may administer the trust or direct a trustee or another trust director in the administration
5	of the trust, including in the investment, management, or distribution of the trust property.
6	(c) Unless the terms of a trust provide otherwise:
7	(1) a trust director may exercise any further power appropriate to the exercise of
8	the director's express powers under subsection (b);
9	(2) a power to designate a recipient of an ownership interest in or a power of
10	appointment over trust property is a power of appointment and not a power of direction under
11	subsection (b);
12	(3) the powers of a trust director are not affected by the incapacity or death of the
13	settlor; and
14	(4) trust directors with joint powers must act by majority decision.
15 16 17	Legislative Note: A state that has adopted Uniform Probate Code § 2-907(c)(4) (1993) or Uniform Trust Code §§ 408(b) or 409(2) (2000) should revise those provisions to [For discussion: should an enforcer under those sections be a "trust director" under this act?]
18 19	Comment
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	<i>Validating a trust director.</i> This section validates a provision for a trust director in the terms of a trust. Although many states would allow a trust director without statutory authorization, this section resolves any doubt. <i>See, e.g., In re Eleanor Pierce (Marshall) Stevens Living Trust,</i> 159 So. 3d 1101 (La. Ct. App. 2015) (upholding the appointment of a trust protector without specific statutory authorization). A specific trust director may be named by the terms of a trust, by the action of a trustee or another trust director acting in accordance with the terms of the trust, as in <i>Minassian v. Rachins,</i> 152 So. 3d 719 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2014), or in accordance with Section 15(2).
28 29 30 31	<i>Background law on trust purposes.</i> Although this section validates terms of a trust that provide for a trust director, it does not override the background law that regulates the formation of trusts, such as the requirements that a trust be lawful, not contrary to public policy, and

 possible to achieve. See Uniform Trust Code § 404 (2000); Restatement (Third) of Trusts §§ 29-30 (2003).

4 *Subsection (a).* Subsection (a) confirms that a trust director has only those powers 5 expressly granted to the director by the terms of the trust. This act does not provide any default 6 powers to a trust director. Nor does the act specify the scope or parameters of any power that the 7 terms of a trust might grant to a director. For a trust director to have any powers, the scope and 8 content of those powers must be prescribed by the terms of a trust.

9 10 Subsection (b). By validating terms of a trust that authorize a trust director to 11 "administer" the trust or direct a trustee in the "administration" of the trust, subsection (b) 12 enables a broad array of director powers, including powers that go beyond those customarily 13 held by a trustee. As used in this subsection, the term "administration" has a meaning at least as broad as it has in the context of determining a trust's "principal place of administration," such as 14 15 under Section 3(b). For the avoidance of doubt, the last clause of subsection (b) confirms that 16 administration includes the kinds of investment, management, and distribution powers that are customarily given to a trustee, such as under Uniform Trust Code § 815(a)(2)(b) (2000). The 17 18 drafting committee further intended the term to include other powers that might affect the 19 administration of a trust, such as the power to modify a trust or to release a trustee from liability. 20

Capaciousness of subsection (b). Without limiting the set of powers enabled by
 subsection (b), the drafting committee specifically contemplated that the terms of a trust could
 create a power in a trust director to:

24	
25	• direct investments, including a power to
26	 acquire, dispose of, exchange, or retain any investment;
27	 make or take loans;
28	 vote proxies for securities held in trust;
29	 adopt a particular valuation of trust property or determine the frequency or
30	methodology of valuation;
31	 adjust between principal and income or convert to a unitrust;
32	 manage a trust-owned business; or
33	 select custodians for trust assets;
34	• modify, reform, terminate, or decant a trust;
35	 direct a trustee's or another director's delegation of the trustee's or other
36	director's powers;
37	• change the principal place of administration, situs, or governing law of the trust;
38	• ascertain the happening of an event that affects the administration of the trust;
39	• determine the capacity of a trustee, settlor, director, or beneficiary of the trust;
40	• determine the compensation to be paid to a trustee or trust director;
41	• prosecute, defend, or join an action, claim, or judicial proceeding relating to the
42	trust;
43	• grant permission before a trustee or another director may exercise a power of the
44	trustee or other director; or
45	• release a trustee or another trust director from liability for an action proposed or
46	previously taken by the trustee or other director.

1 Manner of direction. Subsection (b) provides that a trust director may either "administer" 2 a trust or "direct a trustee or another trust director in the administration of the trust." The terms 3 of a trust may thus allow a director to exercise its powers either independently or through 4 directions given to a trustee. For example, in connection with a power to direct investments, the 5 terms of a trust might enable a trust director to enter into an investment subscription agreement 6 by signing that agreement itself or by directing the trustee to sign the agreement. Note, however, 7 that this act does not specify by default the manner in which a director may act. Whether a 8 director may act independently or only by directing a trustee's actions is governed by the terms 9 of the trust. 10

Exclusions. Like the other provisions of this act, subsection (b) does not apply to matters that are excluded by Section 5. Thus, because Section 5(2) excludes a power "to appoint or remove a trustee or trust director," subsection (b) does not include such a power as a power of direction within the meaning of this act. The validity and construction of such a power is governed by law other than this act.

Subsection (c). This subsection prescribes four default rules of construction that apply
unless the terms of a trust provide otherwise.

16

20 Further appropriate powers. Subsection (c)(1) prescribes a default rule under which a 21 trust director may exercise any "further" power that is "appropriate" to the director's exercise of 22 the director's express powers under the terms of the trust as authorized by subsection (b). The 23 term "appropriate" is drawn from Uniform Trust Code § 815(a)(2)(B) (2000). Appropriateness 24 should be judged in relation to the purpose or function being carried out by the director. 25 Examples of further powers that might be appropriate include a power to: (1) incur reasonable costs and direct indemnification for those costs; (2) make a report or accounting to a beneficiary 26 27 or other interested party; (3) direct a trustee to issue a certification of trust under Uniform Trust 28 Code § 1013 (2000); or (4) prosecute, defend, or join an action, claim, or judicial proceeding 29 relating to a trust. In some circumstances, it may be appropriate for a trust director to delegate 30 the director's powers, much as it may sometimes be prudent for a trustee to do so. 31

32 Subsection (c)(1) would reverse the result in Schwartz v. Wellin, No. 2:13-CV-3595-33 DCN, 2014 WL 1572767 (D.S.C. Apr. 17, 2014) if under the circumstances it would be 34 "appropriate" for a trust director to prosecute, defend, or join an action, claim, or judicial 35 proceeding pertaining to the trust. In *Wellin*, the court held that a trust director (which the terms of the trust referred to as a "trust protector") lacked standing because under Rule 17(a)(1) of the 36 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure it was neither a real party in interest nor a party who could 37 38 pursue a claim if not a real party in interest. However, Rule 17(a)(1) does allow "a party 39 authorized by statute" to bring such litigation, and subsection (c)(1) supplies the requisite 40 statutory authorization if appropriate under the circumstances in light of the terms of the trust. 41 Subsection (b) would also provide the requisite statutory authorization if the terms of the trust 42 expressly confer a power of litigation on the director. 43

Rule of construction for powers of appointment. Subsection (c)(2) prescribes a default
 rule of construction under which a power to designate a recipient of an ownership interest in or a
 power of appointment over trust property is a power of appointment unless the terms of the trust

1 provide otherwise. Powers of appointment, the exercise of which are not subject to fiduciary duty 2 but must be in accord with the terms of the power, are excluded from the scope of this act by 3 Section 5(1). 4 5 Settlor incapacity or death. Subsection (c)(3) specifies a default rule under which a trust 6 director's powers survive the incapacity or death of the settlor. Following the directed trust 7 statutes in several states, including Nevada and South Dakota, see Nev. Rev. Stat. § 163.555 8 (2015); S.D. Codified Laws § 55-1B-3 (2016), the drafting committee included this section to 9 confirm that the common law rule of agency under which an agent's authority terminates on the 10 incapacity or death of the principal would not apply to a trust director. See Restatement (Third) of Agency §§ 3.07-3.08 (2006). 11 12 13 Majority decision. Subsection (c)(4) provides a default rule of majority action for multiple trust directors with "joint powers," such as a three-person committee with a power of 14 15 direction over investment or distribution. Majority action is the prevailing default for multiple 16 trustees. See Uniform Trust Code § 703(a) (2000); Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 39 (2003). In the event of a deadlock among trust directors with joint powers, by analogy to a deadlock among 17 cotrustees, a court could "direct exercise of the [joint] power or take other action to break the 18 19 deadlock." Id. cmt. e. 20 SECTION 7. DUTY AND LIABILITY OF TRUST DIRECTOR. 21 22 (a) Subject to subsection (b), with respect to a power of direction under Section 6(b) or a 23 further power under Section 6(c)(1) appropriate to the power of direction: 24 (1) the trust director is subject to the same fiduciary duty and liability as a trustee; 25 and (2) the director's duty or liability may be varied by the terms of the trust to the 26 27 same extent that the terms of the trust could vary the duty or liability of a trustee. 28 (b) Unless the terms of a trust provide otherwise, if a trust director is licensed, certified, 29 or otherwise authorized or permitted by law to provide health care in the ordinary course of the 30 director's business or practice of a profession, and the director acts in such a capacity, the 31 director is not subject to duty or liability under this [act]. 32 (c) The terms of a trust may impose a duty or liability on a trust director in addition to the 33 duties and liabilities under this [act].

Comment

2 Subsection (a). Subsection (a) imposes the same fiduciary duties on a trust director that 3 would ordinarily apply to a trustee. A trust director with a power to make or direct investments, 4 for example, has the same duties that a trustee would have in making investments to act 5 prudently, in the sole interest of the beneficiaries, and impartially with due regard for the 6 respective interests of the beneficiaries. See, e.g., Restatement (Third) of Trusts §§ 77-79, 90-92 7 (2007). The theory behind subsection (a) is that if a trust director exercises a power, the director 8 is the most appropriate person to bear the duty associated with the exercise or nonexercise of that 9 power. Put differently, in a directed trust, a trust director functions much like a trustee in a non-10 directed trust, and thus should have the same duties as a trustee. 11

Accordingly, subsection (a)(1) sets the default duties of a trust director by absorbing the duties that would ordinarily apply to a trustee, and subsection (a)(2) sets the mandatory minimum duties of such a director by absorbing the mandatory minimum duties that a settlor cannot waive for a trustee. In aligning the fiduciary duties of a trust director with those of a trustee, subsection (a) follows the great majority of the existing state directed trust statutes.

18 Absorption of existing trust fiduciary law. Subsection (a) operates by absorbing existing 19 state law rather than by inventing a new body of law. Incorporating existing trust fiduciary law in 20 this manner offers several advantages. First, it avoids the need to spell out the entirety of trust 21 fiduciary law. That is, it avoids the need to replicate something like Article 8 of the Uniform Trust Code. Second, absorbing the trust fiduciary law of each enacting state accommodates 22 23 diversity across the states in the particulars of a trustee's default and mandatory fiduciary duties, 24 such as the duties to diversify and to give information to beneficiaries, both of which have 25 become increasingly differentiated across the states. Third, absorption allows for changes to the 26 law of a trustee's fiduciary duties to be absorbed automatically into the duties of a trust director 27 without need for periodic conforming revisions to this act.

28

29 Absorption and circumstances of trust directors. In applying the law of trustee fiduciary 30 duties to a trust director, a court must make use of the flexibility built into trust fiduciary law. 31 Courts have long applied the duties of loyalty and prudence across a wide array of 32 circumstances, including many different kinds of trusts as well as other fiduciary relationships, 33 such as corporations and agencies. Courts are thus capable of applying fiduciary duties in a 34 manner that is sensitive to the wide variation that is possible across powers of direction. In 35 assessing the actions of a director that holds a power to modify a trust, for example, a court 36 should apply the standards of loyalty and prudence in a manner that is appropriate to the 37 particular context, including the trust's terms and purposes and the director's particular powers. 38

Springing powers without a duty to monitor. The drafting committee contemplated that a settlor could construct a director's power to be springing and without an ongoing obligation to monitor the administration of the trust. For example, a settlor could grant a trust director a power to direct a distribution, but only if requested to do so by a beneficiary. A director holding such a power would not be under a duty to act unless requested to do so by a beneficiary. Moreover, because under subsection (a)(2) a settlor can vary the fiduciary duties of a trust director to the same extent that the settlor could vary the fiduciary duties of a trustee, in the example just given under Uniform Trust Code § 105(b)(2) (amended 2004) the settlor could waive all of the
director's otherwise applicable duties other than the duty to act in good faith. In such a situation,
the director would be subject to the minimum duty to act in good faith in the director's response
to the beneficiary's request for a distribution.

5

23

6 Extended discretion and exculpation or exoneration. Under the framework of subsection 7 (a), the terms of a trust could give a trust director extended discretion, such as in the form of 8 "sole," "absolute," or "uncontrolled" discretion, with the same effect as those terms would have 9 on the discretion of a trustee. Under prevailing law, "words such as 'absolute' or 'unlimited' or 10 'sole and uncontrolled' are not interpreted literally. Even under the broadest grant of fiduciary discretion, a trustee must act honestly and in a state of mind contemplated by the settlor. Thus, 11 12 the court will not permit the trustee to act in bad faith or for some purpose or motive other than to accomplish the purposes of the discretionary power." Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 50 cmt. 13 14 c (2003); see also Uniform Trust Code § 814(a) (amended 2004). Under subsection (a)(2), the 15 same rules would apply to a grant of extended discretion to a trust director.

A trust director is likewise subject to the same rules as a trustee with regard to an
exculpation or exoneration clause. Under prevailing law, such as under Uniform Trust Code §
1008 (2000) and Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 96 (2012), an exculpation or exoneration clause
cannot protect a trustee against liability for acting in bad faith or with reckless indifference.
Under subsection (a)(2), the same rules would apply to an exculpation or exoneration clause for a
trust director.

Subsection (b)—health-care professionals. Subsection (b) refers to a trust director who is "licensed, certified, or otherwise authorized or permitted by law to provide health care in the ordinary course of the director's business or practice of a profession." This phrasing is based on the definition of "health-care provider" in Uniform Health-Care Decisions Act § 1(8) (1993). To the extent that a trust director acts in the director's business or practice of a profession to provide health care, the director is relieved from duty or liability under this act unless the terms of the trust provide otherwise.

The rule of this subsection, which applies unless the terms of the trust provide otherwise, addresses the concern that a health-care professional might refuse appointment as a trust director if such service would expose the provider to fiduciary duty under this act. For example, a trust might call for a health-care professional to determine the capacity or sobriety of a beneficiary or the capacity of the settlor. In making such a determination, under subsection (b) the health-care professional would not be subject to duty or liability under this act, instead the professional would be subject to the rules and regulations otherwise applicable to the professional.

Subsection (c)—no ceiling on duties. Subsection (c) confirms that the duties prescribed
 by this section are defaults and minimums, not ceilings. The terms of a trust can impose further
 duties in addition to those prescribed by this section.

42

43 SECTION 8. DUTY AND LIABILITY OF DIRECTED TRUSTEE.

44

(a) Subject to subsection (b), a directed trustee must take reasonable action to comply

1 with the terms of a power of direction.

2	(b) A directed trustee must not comply with the terms of a power of direction to the
3	extent that by complying the trustee would engage in willful misconduct.
4	(c) If under the terms of the trust a trust director may release a trustee or another trust
5	director from liability for breach of trust and the director grants such a release, the trustee or
6	other director is not liable to the extent of the release unless:
7	(1) the breach involved the trustee's or the other director's own willful
8	misconduct;
9	(2) the release was induced by improper conduct of the trustee or other director;
10	or
11	(3) at the time of the release, the director did not know of the material facts
12	relating to the breach.
13	(d) A directed trustee that has reasonable doubt about its duty under this section may
14	satisfy that duty by timely petitioning the court for instructions or presenting the issue in a
15	pending proceeding.
16	(e) The terms of a trust may impose a duty or liability on a directed trustee in addition to
17	the duties and liabilities prescribed by this [act].
18 19	<i>Legislative Note:</i> A state that has adopted Uniform Trust Code Section 808 [For discussion: what recommendation should we make about revision or repeal of UTC Section 808?].
20	Comment
21 22 23 24 25 26	<i>Duties of a directed trustee.</i> This section addresses the duties of a directed trustee. It should be read in conjunction with Section 9, which governs information sharing among directed trustees and trust directors, and Section 10, which provides that a directed trustee has no duty to monitor a trust director or inform or give advice to a settlor, beneficiary, trustee, or trust director about the director's exercise or nonexercise of the director's powers.
27 28	<i>Subsection (a)—duty of obedience.</i> Subsection (a) requires a directed trustee to obey the terms of a power of direction. The "terms of a power of direction" may impose a variety of

1 demands on a directed trustee. The terms of a trust may require a trustee to follow the express

2 directions of a trust director, such as if the terms of the trust allow the director to direct the

- 3 trustee to invest trust assets in a particular security. The terms of a trust may require a trustee to
- request permission from a director before acting or to refrain from acting if the director so
 directs. The terms of a trust may also allow a director to modify the trust or to impose particular
- directs. The terms of a trust may also allow a director to modify the trust or to impose particularadministrative procedures. The duty imposed by subsection (a) requires a trustee to comply with
- any such terms in a power of direction, subject to the limitation in subsection (b).
- 8

9 The terms of a power of direction. The reference to "the terms of a power of direction" limits a directed trustee's duty to comply to a direction that is within the trust director's power of 10 direction. A trustee should not comply with a direction by a trust director that is outside of the 11 12 director's power of direction. To do so would violate the trustee's duty to comply with the terms 13 of the power of direction and the trustee's broader background duty to act in accordance with the 14 terms of a trust. See, e.g., Uniform Trust Code § 105(b)(2) (amended 2005) (making mandatory 15 "the duty of a trustee to act ... in accordance with terms ... of the trust"); Restatement (Third) of 16 Trusts § 76 (2007) ("The trustee has a duty to administer the trust ... in accordance with the terms of the trust."). For example, a direction rendered in a form contrary to that required by the 17 terms of the trust, such as an oral direction if the terms of the trust require a writing, is not within 18 19 the trust director's power of direction.

20

21 Duty to take "reasonable action." Subsection (a) requires that a trustee take "reasonable 22 action" in complying with the terms of a power of direction. If a trust director with a power to 23 direct investments directs the trustee to purchase a particular security, for example, the trustee 24 must take reasonable care and must refrain from self-dealing and conflicts of interest in selecting 25 a broker or executing the trade. The duty to act reasonably in complying with the terms of a power of direction does not, however, impose a duty to ensure that the substance of a direction is 26 27 reasonable. Although a trustee must take reasonable care in executing a direction to purchase a 28 security, for example, the trustee is not required to assess whether the purchase of that security 29 would be prudent in relation to the trust's investment portfolio.

30 *Subsection (b)—willful misconduct.* Subsection (b) provides an exception to the duty of 31 obedience prescribed by subsection (a). Under subsection (b), a trustee must not comply with the 32 terms of a power of direction to the extent that by complying the trustee would engage in "willful 33 misconduct." The drafting committee settled upon the term willful misconduct after a review of 34 the existing specialized directed trust statutes. 35

36 Roughly speaking, the existing directed trust statutes fall into two groups. In one group, 37 which constitutes a majority, are the statutes that fully relieve a directed trustee from duty or 38 liability for complying with an action of a trust director. This group includes the statutes in 39 Alaska, New Hampshire, Nevada, and South Dakota. The policy rationale for these statutes is 40 that duty should follow power. A director who possesses a power of direction should be the exclusive bearer of fiduciary duty in the exercise or nonexercise of that power. Moreover, the 41 settlor of a directed trust could have made the trust director the sole trustee instead. Thus, on 42 43 greater-includes-the-lesser reasoning, the settlor should also be able to eliminate a directed 44 trustee's duty and liability for complying with an action of a trust director. Under these statutes, a beneficiary's only recourse for misconduct by the trust director is an action against the director 45 for breach of the director's fiduciary duty to the beneficiary. 46

In the other group, which includes Delaware, Illinois, Colorado, North Carolina, and 1 2 Virginia, are the statutes under which a directed trustee is not liable for complying with a 3 direction of a trust director, unless by so doing the directed trustee would personally engage in 4 "willful" or "intentional" misconduct. The policy rationale for these statutes is that, because a 5 trustee stands at the center of a trust, the trustee must bear at least some duty even if the trustee is 6 directed. Although the settlor could have made the trust director the sole trustee, the settlor did 7 not actually do so-and under traditional understandings of trust law, a trustee must always be 8 accountable to a beneficiary in some way. See, e.g., Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 96 cmt. c 9 (2012) ("Notwithstanding the breadth of language in a trust provision relieving a trustee from 10 liability for breach of trust, for reasons of policy trust fiduciary law imposes limitations on the types and degree of misconduct for which the trustee can be excused from liability."). 11 12

13 The states in the second group also recognize, however, that to facilitate the settlor's 14 intent that the trust director rather than the directed trustee be the primary decisionmaker, it is appropriate to reduce the trustee's duty and liability below the usual level with respect to a 15 16 matter subject to a power of direction. Accordingly, under these statutes a beneficiary's main recourse for misconduct by the trust director is an action against the director for breach of the 17 director's fiduciary duty to the beneficiary. The beneficiary also has recourse against the trustee, 18 19 but only if the trustee's compliance with the terms of the power of direction amounted to "willful 20 misconduct" by the trustee. Relative to a non-directed trust, this second approach has the effect 21 of increasing the total fiduciary duties owed to a beneficiary. All of the usual duties of 22 trusteeship are preserved in the trust director, and in addition the directed trustee also has a duty to avoid willful misconduct. 23

24

After extensive deliberation and debate, the drafting committee opted to follow the second group of statutes, which includes the prominent Delaware act, on the grounds that this model does more to protect a beneficiary and is more consistent with traditional fiduciary policy, even while remaining viable and popular, as evidenced by the large number of directed trusts in Delaware. To put the point otherwise, the popularity of directed trusts in Delaware establishes that a directed trust regime that preserves a "willful misconduct" safeguard is workable and that a total elimination of duty in a directed trustee is unnecessary.

33 The willful misconduct standard prescribed by this subsection changes the policy of 34 Uniform Trust Code § 808 (2000), which provides the current uniform law treatment of directed 35 trusts and is similar in substance to Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 75 (2007). Section 808(b) provides: "If the terms of a trust confer upon a person other than the settlor of a revocable trust 36 37 power to direct certain actions of the trustee, the trustee shall act in accordance with an exercise 38 of the power unless the attempted exercise is manifestly contrary to the terms of the trust or the 39 trustee knows the attempted exercise would constitute a serious breach of a fiduciary duty that 40 the person holding the power owes to the beneficiaries of the trust." In deciding to change this 41 standard, the drafting committee was deeply influenced by the fact that a growing number of states that had previously adopted Section 808 have since abandoned it or modified it to follow 42 43 one of the two other models discussed above. The drafting committee was also strongly 44 influenced by the fact that a review of every existing specialized state statute on directed trusts showed that no state that has legislated specifically on the issue of directed trustee fiduciary 45 46 duties has chosen to follow Section 808.

The willful misconduct standard in subsection (b) is to be distinguished from the duty to
 take reasonable action in subsection (a). The reasonability standard of subsection (a) applies to
 the manner in which a trustee complies with a power of direction. The willful misconduct
 standard of subsection (b) applies to the decision of whether to comply with a power of direction.

5

12

6 Subsection (c)—release by trust director. Subsection (c) provides additional substantive 7 and procedural safeguards for a release by a trust director of a trustee from liability. Under 8 Section 6, the terms of a trust may empower a trust director to release a trustee or another trust 9 director from liability for breach of trust. If the director grants such a release, the trustee or other 10 director is not liable to the extent of the release. The terms of a trust may enable such a release to 11 be given at any time, whether before or after the trustee or other director acts.

13 This subsection imposes certain substantive and procedural limitations on a release by a 14 trust director. First, consistent with the policy of subsection (b) as described above, a trustee 15 cannot be released for a breach that involves the trustee's own willful misconduct. Second, 16 consistent with prevailing law governing a release of a trustee by a beneficiary, see, e.g., Uniform Trust Code § 1009 (amended 2001); Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 97 (2012), a 17 18 release by a trust director is not enforceable if it was induced by improper conduct of the trustee 19 or if at the time of the release the director did not know of the material facts relating to the 20 breach.

21

22 [To discuss: (1) release can also be of another trust director but with the same 23 "willful misconduct" substantive safeguard; (2) the interaction of this section with the 24 exclusion in Section 5(4) as regards a release by a beneficiary that affects the interest of 25 another beneficiary. Per the comment to Section 5(4), a power in a beneficiary to release a 26 trustee from a claim by the beneficiary is excluded from this act. But a power in a 27 beneficiary to release the trustee from a claim by another beneficiary (other than by virtual representation) is a power of direction under Section 6(b), and the beneficiary would be a 28 29 trust director under Section 2(7), subject to fiduciary duty under this Section 8, to the extent the beneficiary's power to release the trustee affects the claims of the other 30 31 beneficiary. This act would therefore reverse the result in Vena v. Vena, 899 N.E.2d 522 (III. 32 App. 2008), which we should discuss.]

33

34 Subsection (d)—petition for instructions. Subsection (d) provides that a directed trustee 35 may satisfy its duty under this section by petitioning for instructions. The specific language of this subsection parallels Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 71 (2007) ("A trustee or beneficiary 36 37 may apply to an appropriate court for instructions regarding the administration or distribution of 38 the trust if there is reasonable doubt about the powers or duties of the trusteeship or about the 39 proper interpretation of the trust provisions."). The safe harbor of this subsection is bounded by 40 two limits: a trustee must have "reasonable doubt" about its duty, and the trustee's petition for 41 instructions must be "timely."

42

The safe harbor of this subsection is permissive rather than mandatory. A trustee may
satisfy its duties by petitioning for instructions. But this subsection does not, by itself, require a
trustee to bring such a petition.

1 2	[To discuss: Question from the floor about affiliates.]
3 4 5	Subsection (d)—no ceiling on duties. Subsection (d) confirms that the duties prescribed by this section are merely defaults and minimums, not ceilings. The terms of a trust can impose further duties in addition to those prescribed by this section.
6 7	SECTION 9. DUTY TO PROVIDE INFORMATION TO TRUSTEE OR TRUST
8	DIRECTOR.
9	(a) A directed trustee shall provide information to a trust director to the extent the
10	information is reasonably related to the powers or duties of the trustee and the powers or duties
11	of the director.
12	(b) A trust director shall provide information to a trustee or another trust director to the
13	extent the information is reasonably related to the powers or duties of the director and the powers
14	or duties of the trustee or other director.
15 16	Comment
10 17 18 19 20	<i>Duty to provide information.</i> This section imposes a duty on a directed trustee and trust director to provide information to each other. Subsection (a) imposes this duty on a directed trustee, and subsection (b) imposes this duty on a trust director.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	<i>Reasonableness.</i> This section relies heavily on the concept of reasonableness. Information must be disclosed only if it is reasonably related both to the powers or duties of the person making the disclosure and to the powers or duties of the person receiving the disclosure. The information must be reasonably related to the powers or duties of the person making the disclosure, because otherwise that person cannot be expected to possess the information. The information must also be reasonably related to the powers or duties of the person receiving the disclosure, because otherwise that person would not need the information. Examples of matters that might require disclosure under this section include modifications to the terms of a trust, changes to investment policy or strategy, distributions, changes in accounting procedure or valuations, and removal or appointment of trustees and trust directors.
32 33 34 35 36 37 38	An affirmative and a responsive duty to inform. This section imposes an affirmative duty to provide information even in the absence of a request for that information and a responsive duty to reply to requests for information. For example, if a trust director exercises a power to modify the terms of a trust, the director would have an affirmative duty to inform the trustees and other trust directors whose powers or duties are reasonably related to the amendment whether or not the trustees or other trust directors inquired about it. Similarly, the director would have a responsive duty to provide information about the amendment upon a request by a trustee or

1 another trust director whose powers or duties were reasonably related to the amendment.

3 Shelton v. Tamposi. In Shelton v. Tamposi, 62 A.3d 741 (N.H. 2013), the terms of the 4 trust left distribution in the hands of the trustee, but shifted power over investment to a trust 5 director (the "investment director"). In consequence, the trustee could not raise the cash 6 necessary to fund a distribution to one of the beneficiaries. Under this section, the trust director 7 would have been under a duty to give the trustee information about the effects of the director's 8 investment program on the trust's cash position, and the trustee would have been under a duty to 9 give the director information about the cash requirements of the trustee's distribution program. 10 Moreover, in making and implementing the investment program, under Section 7(a) the trust director would be subject to the same duties as a similarly situated trustee, in parallel to the 11 12 trustee's duties in making and implementing the distribution program.

Interaction with Section 10. Section 10 provides that a directed trustee does not have a duty to monitor, inform, or advise other parties about the actions of a trust director. Section 10 does not, however, eliminate the trustee's duty to provide information related to the trustee's own powers and duties. This section, which requires a directed trustee to provide information "reasonably related to the powers or duties of the trustee" is therefore not inconsistent with Section 10.

Disclosure to beneficiaries. This section governs disclosure of information to trustees and trust directors, rather than to beneficiaries. The duty of a trust director to disclose information to a beneficiary is governed by Section 7, which prescribes the fiduciary duties of a trust director. The duty of a directed trustee to disclose information to a beneficiary is governed by the background law of an enacting state under Section 4, and by Section 10, which limits a directed trustee's duty to inform a beneficiary about the actions of a trust director.

27

2

13

28 Mandatory floor, not ceiling. This section imposes a mandatory floor on a directed 29 trustee's and a trust director's duty to share information, rather than a ceiling. The terms of a 30 trust may specify more extensive duties of information sharing among directed trustees and trust 31 directors.

- 32
- 33

SECTION 10. NO DUTY TO MONITOR, INFORM, OR ADVISE. Unless the

34 terms of a trust provide otherwise, a directed trustee:

35 (1) does not have a duty to monitor a trust director or inform or give advice to a settlor,

36 beneficiary, trustee, or trust director about the director's exercise or nonexercise of the director's

37 powers; and

38 (2) does not assume the duty described in paragraph (1) because the directed trustee on

39 another occasion monitors a trust director or informs or gives advice to a settlor, beneficiary,

1 trustee, or trust director about a trust director's exercise or nonexercise of the director's powers.

2

Comment

Following the weight of existing statute law. This section provides that a directed trustee does not have a duty to monitor a trust director or inform or give advice to a settlor, beneficiary, trustee, or trust director about a trust director's actions. Many existing state statutes have provisions to similar effect, though the language in this section is more direct and simpler than those provisions.

9 The existing provisions on which this section is based were meant to reverse the result in 10 *Rollins v. Branch Banking & Trust Company of Virginia*, 56 Va. Cir. 147 (2002), in which the 11 court considered the liability of a trustee that was subject to direction in investment. The court 12 declined to hold the trustee liable for the investment director's failure to direct diversification of 13 the trust's investments, but the court nevertheless held the trustee liable for failing to advise the 14 beneficiaries about the risks of the investment director's actions.

15

16 Survival of trustee's general duty of disclosure. Although this section confirms that a 17 directed trustee has no duty to monitor, inform, or advise regarding a trust director's exercise or 18 nonexercise of its powers, this section does not relieve a trustee of its ordinary duties to disclose 19 and report under otherwise applicable law such as under Uniform Trust Code § 813 (amended 20 2004) or Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 82 (2007). For example, although a directed trustee 21 would not have a duty to advise a beneficiary about the risks of a portfolio concentration, the 22 trustee would remain under a duty to make periodic reports or accountings to the beneficiary and 23 to answer reasonable inquiries by the beneficiary about the administration of the trust to the 24 extent required by otherwise applicable law.

25

26 27

28

This section does not relieve a trustee of the duty under Section 9 to provide a trust director with information reasonably related to the director's powers or duties.

29 The trust director's duty of disclosure. Under Section 7(a), a trust director is subject to 30 the same disclosure duties as a trustee would be. Thus, for example, if a trust director intended to direct a nonroutine transaction, to change "investment ... strategies," or to take "significant 31 32 actions ... involving hard-to-value assets or special sensitivity to beneficiaries," the director 33 might be under a duty of affirmative advance disclosure. See Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 82 34 cmt. d (2007); Allard v. Pacific Nat'l Bank, 663 P.2d 104, 110 (Wash, 1983) ("The trustee must inform beneficiaries, however, of all material facts in connection with a nonroutine transaction 35 36 which significantly affects the trust estate and the interests of the beneficiaries prior to the 37 transaction taking place.").

38

No assumption of duty. In addition to waiving a directed trustee's duty to monitor,
inform, or advise as under paragraph (1) of this section, many state statutes go further and also
provide that if a trustee for some reason chooses to do so, those monitoring, informing, or
advising activities will be deemed to be "administrative actions." The purpose of these
provisions is to ensure that if a directed trustee chooses for some reason to inform, monitor, or
advise, the trustee does not assume a continuing obligation to do so nor concede a prior duty to

1 have done so. This section dispenses with the opacity of an administrative classification and

2 achieves the intended result more directly in paragraph (2) by providing that if a trustee

3 monitors, informs, or advises about the actions of a trust director on one occasion, the trustee

- 4 does not thereby assume a duty to monitor, inform, or advise on another occasion.
- 5 6

SECTION 11. APPLICATION TO COTRUSTEE. The terms of a trust may provide

7 that a cotrustee is subject only to the duty and liability of a directed trustee under Sections 8

8 through 10 with respect to another trustee's power to administer the trust or direct the cotrustee

9 in the administration of the trust, including in the investment, management, or distribution of the

10 trust property.

11 *Legislative Note:* A state that has adopted Uniform Trust Code § 703(c), (f), and (g) should 12 revise those sections by *[for discussion at next meeting]*.

13

Comment

Cotrusteeship and directed trusteeship compared. Cotrusteeship and directed trusteeship are similar in many ways. The principal difference is that in a cotrusteeship, every person named as a cotrustee holds title to the trust property. In a directed trust, by contrast, title to trust property belongs only to the trustee, and not to the trust director. The placement of title can have important consequences for dealings with third parties and for tax, property, and other bodies of law outside of trust law.

20

Cotrusteeship by default. Under this section the default rule is that, if a settlor names
 cotrustees, the traditional law of cotrusteeship applies. The fiduciary duties of directed
 trusteeship will only apply if the terms of the trust so provide.

25 Settlor autonomy. Under traditional law governing cotrustees, each cotrustee "has a duty 26 to use reasonable care to prevent a co-trustee from committing a breach of trust and, if a breach of trust occurs, to obtain redress." Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 81(2) (2007). This rule applies 27 even if the settlor limits the role or function of one of the cotrustees. "Even in matters for which 28 29 a trustee is relieved of responsibility, ... if the trustee knows that a co-trustee is committing or 30 attempting to commit a breach of trust, the trustee has a duty to take reasonable steps to prevent 31 the fiduciary misconduct." Id. cmt. b. This rule for a cotrustee contrasts with the rules for a 32 directed trustee under Sections 8 and 10 of this act, which impose a less demanding fiduciary 33 standard

34

This section allows a settlor to choose either fiduciary regime for a cotrusteeship—the traditional rules of cotrusteeship or the more permissive rules of a directed trusteeship. There seems little reason to prevent the settlor from applying the fiduciary rules of this act to an arrangement that uses the labeling of cotrusteeship because a settlor could choose the more permissive rules for a directed trusteeship by labeling one of the cotrustees as a trust director and another as a directed trustee. 1 *Mechanics of choosing directed trustee duties.* Whether this section applies to a given 2 trust is a question of construction of the terms of that trust. This section does not impose a 3 requirement of express reference to this section or to this act. Under Section 3(a), this section 4 applies to a trust created before the effective date of this act, but only as to conduct after that 5 date.

Cotrustees as directed trustees and trust directors. The terms of a trust can place a
cotrustee in a position of either giving direction, like a trust director, or taking direction, like a
directed trustee. This section only applies to a cotrustee who takes direction. This section does
not address the duties of a cotrustee that gives direction because under Section 7, the duties of a
trust director are the ordinary duties of a trustee.

No third-party effects. This section does not change the rights of third parties who deal with a cotrustee in the cotrustee's capacity as such. Although this section changes the degree to which the terms of a trust may reduce a cotrustee's duties to a beneficiary, it does not alter the rules that affect the rights of third parties who contract with or otherwise interact with a cotrustee.

18 19

6

SECTION 12. LIMITATION OF ACTION AGAINST TRUST DIRECTOR.

- 20 (a) An action against a trust director for breach of trust must be commenced within the
- 21 same limitations period as an action against a trustee for breach of trust [as prescribed by
- 22 Uniform Trust Code Section 1005].
- 23 (b) A report or accounting to a person of the conduct of a trust director has the same
- 24 effect on the limitations period for an action by the person against the director that the report or
- 25 accounting would have if the director were a trustee [as prescribed by Uniform Trust Code
- 26 Section 1005].
- 27 Legislative Note: A state that has adopted Uniform Trust Code Section 1005 should update the
- 28 bracketed language to reference that enactment. A state that has adopted a statute other than
- 29 Uniform Trust Code Section 1005 to govern limitation of an action against a trustee should
- 30 replace the bracketed language with a cross reference to that statute. A state that has not
- 31 *adopted a statutory limitation should delete the bracketed language.*
- 32

Comment

Subsection (a) extends to a trust director the same limits on liability that a trustee enjoys
 under the law of an enacting state by way of a statutory limitations period, such as under

- 35 Uniform Trust Code § 1005(c) (2000). The limitations period absorbed by subsection (a) applies
- to all claims against a trust director for breach of trust, whether by a beneficiary, a trustee,
- 37 another trust director, or some other party.

1	Subsection (b) extends to a trust director the same limits on liability that a trustee enjoys
2	under the law of an enacting state arising from the making of a report or accounting, such as
3	under Uniform Trust Code § 1005(a)-(b) (2000), except that the rule of subsection (b) applies
4	regardless of whether the report or accounting was made by the trust director. A trust director
5	may therefore be protected by a report or accounting made by a trustee or another trust director
6	even though the director did not make the report or accounting, so long as the report or
7	
8	accounting fairly discloses the director's actions.
	I ach a subjet stated a subject is an excitable defense with a three elimitations would be
9	Laches, which strictly speaking is an equitable defense rather than a limitations period, is
10	made applicable to an action against a trust director by Section 13.
11	
12	SECTION 13. DEFENSES IN ACTION AGAINST TRUST DIRECTOR. In an
13	action against a trust director for breach of trust, the director may assert the same defenses a
14	trustee could assert in an action against the trustee for breach of trust.
15	Comment
16	
17	Absorption. This section applies to an action for breach of trust against a trust director the
18	law of an enacting state governing defenses available to a trustee in a comparable action. A trust
19	director can assert any defense that would be available to a trustee in an action for breach of trust
20	under existing state law, including: [For discussion: Should we move these examples into the
21	blackletter, with bracketed UTC cross-references, and indicate that they are not
22	exhaustive? We moved these examples to the comment in response to suggestions by
23	several Commissioners at the Annual Meeting in July.]
24	
25	• <i>laches or estoppel (see</i> Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 98 (2012));
26	• beneficiary consent, release, or ratification (see Uniform Trust Code § 1009 (amended
27	2001); Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 97(b)–(c) (2012));
28	 reasonable reliance on the terms of a trust (see Uniform Trust Code § 1006 (2000);
29	Uniform Prudent Investor Act § 1(b) (1994)); and
30	 reasonable care in ascertaining the happening of an event affecting administration or
31	<i>distribution (see Uniform Trust Code § 1007 (2000); Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 76</i>
32	cmt. f (2007)).
33	cint. 1 (2007)).
33 34	Exculpation or exoneration. The comments to Section 7 address the effect of an
	*
35	exculpation or exoneration clause on the duty and liability of a trust director.
36	
37	Attorney's fees and indemnification. Attorney's fees and indemnification for a trust
38	director are governed by Section $6(c)(1)$, which establishes a default rule that allows a trust
39	director to exercise "any further power appropriate to the exercise of the director's express
40	power" under Section 6(b). By default, therefore, a trust director has the power to incur
41	attorney's fees and other expenses and to direct indemnification for them if "appropriate" to the
42	exercise of the director's express powers.
43	

1	SECTION 14. JURISDICTION OVER TRUST DIRECTOR.
2	(a) By accepting appointment as trust director of a trust subject to this [act], the director
3	submits personally to the jurisdiction of the courts of this state regarding any matter related to a
4	power or duty of the director.
5	(b) This section does not preclude other methods of obtaining jurisdiction over a trust
6	director.
7 8	Comment
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Under subsection (a), by accepting appointment as trust director of a trust subject to this act, the director submits to the personal jurisdiction of the courts of the state. This rule is mandatory. The terms of a trust or an agreement among the trust director and other parties cannot negate a court's personal jurisdiction over a trust director under this section. However, this section does not preclude a court from declining to exercise jurisdiction under the doctrine of forum non conveniens.
16 17 18	Subsection (b) confirms that subsection (a) does not prescribe the exclusive method of obtaining jurisdiction over a trust director.
19	SECTION 15. TRUST DIRECTORSHIP. Unless the terms of a trust provide
20	otherwise, the rules applicable to a trusteeship regarding the following matters apply to a trust
21	directorship:
22	(1) acceptance [as prescribed by Uniform Trust Code Section 701];
23	(2) appointment [as prescribed by Uniform Trust Code Section 704];
24	(3) giving of bond to secure performance [as prescribed by Uniform Trust Code Section
25	702];
26	(4) compensation [as prescribed by Uniform Trust Code Section 708];
27	(5) resignation [as prescribed by Uniform Trust Code Section 705];
28	(6) removal [as prescribed by Uniform Trust Code Section 706]; and
29	(7) vacancy [as prescribed by Uniform Trust Code Section 704].

1 Legislative Note: A state that has adopted the Uniform Trust Code sections referenced in this 2 section should update the bracketed language to reference the appropriate portions of that 3 enactment. A state that has adopted relevant statutory provisions other than the sections of the 4 Uniform Trust Code referenced in this section should replace the bracketed language with cross 5 references to those provisions. A state that has not adopted relevant statutory provisions should 6 delete the bracketed language accordingly. 7 **Comments** 8 This section absorbs the state law of trusteeship for a trust directorship with regard to 9 seven subjects. The default or mandatory character of the law as applied to a trusteeship governs 10 whether the law is default or mandatory as applied to a trust directorship. 11 *Paragraph* (1)—acceptance. This paragraph absorbs an enacting state's law governing 12 13 acceptance of a trusteeship, such as under Uniform Trust Code § 701(a)-(b) (2000) or 14 Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 35 (2003), for application to acceptance of a trust directorship. 15 16 Paragraph (2)—appointment. This paragraph absorbs an enacting state's law governing 17 trustee appointment, such as under Uniform Trust Code § 704(c) and (e) (amended 2004) and 18 Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 34 (2003), for application to appointment of a trust director. 19 20 *Paragraph* (3)—bond. This paragraph absorbs an enacting state's law governing bond to 21 secure performance by a trustee, such as under Uniform Trust Code § 702(a)–(b) (2000) and 22 Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 34(3) (2003), for application to bond by a trust director. 23 24 Paragraph (4)—compensation. This paragraph absorbs an enacting state's law governing 25 compensation of a trustee, such as under Uniform Trust Code § 708 (2000) and Restatement 26 (Third) of Trusts § 38 cmt. i (2003), for application to compensation of a trust director. The drafting committee contemplated that, just as in total "the reasonable fees for multiple trustees 27 28 may be higher than for a single trustee," id., so too the total reasonable fees for a trust with a 29 directed trustee and a trust director may be higher than for a single trustee. 30 31 On the other hand, the reasonable compensation of a directed trustee is likely to be less 32 than that for a trustee that is not directed. An apt analogy is to a trustee that hires others to "render services expected or normally to be performed by the trustee." Restatement (Third) of 33 34 Trusts § 38 cmt. c(1) (2003); see also Uniform Prudent Investor Act § 9 cmt. (1994) ("If, for 35 example, the trustee's regular compensation schedule presupposes that the trustee will conduct 36 the investment management function, it should ordinarily follow that the trustee will lower its fee 37 when delegating the investment function to an outside manager."). 38 39 *Paragraph* (5)—*resignation*. This paragraph absorbs an enacting state's law governing 40 resignation by a trustee, such as under Uniform Trust Code § 705 (amended 2001) and 41 Restatement (Third) of Trusts § 36 (2003), for application to resignation by a trust director. 42 43 Paragraph (6)—removal. This subsection absorbs an enacting state's law governing 44 removal of a trustee, such as under Uniform Trust Code § 706 (2000) and Restatement (Third) of 45 Trusts § 37 cmt. e (2003), for application to removal of a trust director.

1 2 3 4	<i>Paragraph</i> (7)— <i>vacancy</i> . This section absorbs an enacting state's law applicable to a vacancy in a trusteeship, such as under Uniform Trust Code § 704 (amended 2004), for application to a vacancy in a trust directorship.
4 5 6 7	<i>Costs and indemnification.</i> The power of a trust director to incur reasonable costs and direct indemnification for expenses would in most cases be covered by Section $6(c)(1)$.
8	SECTION 16. UNIFORMITY OF APPLICATION AND CONSTRUCTION. In
9	applying and construing this uniform act, consideration must be given to the need to promote
10	uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.
11	SECTION 17. RELATION TO ELECTRONIC SIGNATURES IN GLOBAL AND
12	NATIONAL COMMERCE ACT. This [act] modifies, limits, or supersedes the Electronic
13	Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, 15 U.S.C. Section 7001 et seq., but does not
14	modify, limit, or supersede Section 101(c) of that act, 15 U.S.C. Section 7001(c), or authorize
15	electronic delivery of any of the notices described in Section 103(b) of that act, 15 U.S.C.
16	Section 7003(b).
17	SECTION 18. REPEALS; CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.
18	(a)
19	(b)
20	(c)
21	SECTION 19. EFFECTIVE DATE. This [act] takes effect